

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/748,004	12/29/2003	Timothy P. Delaney	6545		
7590 02/08/2005			EXAMINER		
Donald W. Meeker			GILBERT, SAMUEL G		
Patent Agent					
924 East Ocean	n Front #E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Newport Beach	ı, CA 92661	3736			

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,004		DELANEY, TIMOTHY P.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Samuel G.		3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	·	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election rec	quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/748,004

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu (2004/0111005) in view of Griffin et al(4,587,956); Hart (5,195,335), and Okinaka et al (4,067,783).

Lu teaches a device as claimed having a series of rod shaped magnets (-104-,-112-), wherein the magnets connect together and are the only interconnecting means, see figures 3,4, 5, 6, in that each row of magnets and beads are interconnected to the next row, only by magnetic attraction. However, Lu does not teach ceramic magnets with a metal coating or the strength in gauss of the magnets. Griffen et al teach the use of ceramic magnets having a gauss rating of up to 6400 gauss, with the most effective therapeutic effect is achieved at 6400 gauss. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ceramic magnets having a gauss rating of 6400 gauss in place of the stone magnets taught by Lu in order to optimize the therapeutic effect of the device as taught by Griffen et al. Neither Lu nor Griffen et al teach a coating of metal on the magnets. Hart teaches a decorative necklace having magnetic elements, in which the magnetic elements are coated for enhancing the esthetic look of the necklace, column 2, lines 19-21, but does not teach a

Art Unit: 3736

metal coating. Okinaka et al. teaches gold coating jewelry to make esthetically appealing and less expensive, column 1 lines 17-24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to use gold electroplating to coat the magnets with gold of Lu to provide an economically advantageous esthetically appealing jewelry as taught by Hart and Okinaka et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571-272-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenberg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel G. Gilbert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736